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Background

- The network topology of a large-scale parallel computer system affects the overall performance
  - Supercomputer
  - Data center

- It is important to design the network topology so that the **diameter** and **average distance** of the number of hops between calculation nodes are small

Designing such a network topology can be defined as an **Order/Degree problem** in graph theory
What's Order/Degree problem?

- By considering the calculation node as “vertex” and the network wiring as “edge”, the network topology is represented as a graph.
- The Order/Degree problem is to find the graph with the smallest diameter and average distance from a set of unweighted graphs with the given number of vertices ($n$) and degree ($d$).

$($n, d$) = (10, 3)$
What's Order/Degree problem?

- By considering the calculation node as “vertex” and the network wiring as “edge”, the network topology is represented as a graph.
- The Order/Degree problem is to find the graph with the smallest diameter and average distance from a set of unweighted graphs with the given number of vertices \((n)\) and degree \((d)\).

\((n, d) = (10, 3)\)
Graph Golf

- International competition for the Order/Degree problem
  - Held by the National Institute of Informatics since 2015
  - Provides problems with combinations of $n$ and $d$ every year
  - The problems in 2019 are $(n, d) = (50, 4), (512, 4), (512, 6), (1Ki, 4), (1726, 30), (4855, 15), (9344, 6), (64Ki, 6), (100K, 8), (100K, 16), (1M, 32)$

$$K = 1,000, \ Ki = 1,024, \ M = 1,000,000$$

Find a graph that has smallest diameter & average shortest path length given an order and a degree.

http://research.nii.ac.jp/graphgolf/
How to solve Order/Degree problem?

- Metaheuristic algorithms such as Simulated Annealing are often used.
- To execute the algorithms, it is necessary to calculate APSP many times.
- Moreover, the computational cost of the APSP algorithm is very high.

e.g. For a problem \((n, d) = (1M, 32)\), the time required for one APSP is about 37 hours by the methods based on BFS on Intel Gold 6126.
Objective and a part of results

- Our previous research provides an APSP algorithm based on Breadth-First Search (BFS-APSP) [2019nakao]
  - BFS-APSP is parallelized by OpenMP + MPI

- This research introduces another APSP algorithm based on adjacency matrix (ADJ-APSP) [2017mori], and compares BFS-APSP
  - ADJ-APSP is parallelized by MPI + OpenMP for multi-core cluster
  - ADJ-APSP is parallelized by MPI + CUDA for GPU cluster

BFS-APSP (about 37 hours) → ADJ-APSP (3,880 sec.) →
ADJ-APSP by OpenMP+MPI on 64 CPUs x 12 Cores (6.77 sec.) →
ADJ-APSP by CUDA+MPI on 128 GPUs (0.28 sec.)

You can download programs from https://github.com/mnakao/APSP/
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Serial BFS-APSP

- BFS can be used to find the distances from one vertex to others
  - APSP can be obtained by BFS for all vertices
  - Top-down approach is used
- The computational complexity of applying BFS to one vertex is proportional to the number of edges; \( O(nd) \).
- When it is repeated \( n \) times, the computational complexity of BFS-APSP is \( O(n^{2d}) \)
Parallel BFS-APSP

- Multiple BFSs are performed simultaneously using MPI, and one BFS is divided into threads using OpenMP
- For MPI,
  - Starting points are assigned to each MPI process evenly
  - Thus, the maximum number of processes is $n$
  - Communication time is small because only the information (diameter and average distance) of each process is collected at the end of the program
- For OpenMP,
  - Each OpenMP thread searches not-visited vertices
  - OpenMP requires exclusive control to update list of the not-visited vertices
Comparison with Graph500

- Graphs in Graph500
  - Kronecker graph where vertices with a large degree and vertices with a low degree are mixed
  - Like social networks

- Graphs in Order/Degree problem
  - Regular graph （正則グラフ） where degree $d$ is constant
  - Like industrial products

From these conditions, we have confirmed that the top-down approach performs better than the hybrid approach [Beamer 2012] used in Graph500
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Serial ADJ-APSP(1/3)

- Let $A$ be an adjacency matrix of a graph.
- If the value of an element $a_{ij}$ in $A^k$ is 1, it means that the vertex $i$ can reach the vertex $j$ within $k$ hops.

$$(n, d) = (10, 3)$$
Serial ADJ-APSP(1/3)

- Let $A$ be an adjacency matrix of a graph
- If the value of an element $a_{i,j}$ in $A^k$ is 1, it means that the vertex $i$ can reach the vertex $j$ within $k$ hops

$(n, d) = (10, 3)$

```
for(int i=0;i<n;i++)
```
## Serial ADJ-APSP(2/3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>adjlst</th>
<th>A²</th>
<th>A³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>2 3 5</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1</td>
<td>1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>5 6 8</td>
<td>0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0</td>
<td>0 3 4</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1</td>
<td>1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 2 9</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1</td>
<td>1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>2 7 9</td>
<td>1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 1 7</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>1 8 9</td>
<td>1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>4 5 8</td>
<td>0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>1 6 7</td>
<td>0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>3 4 6</td>
<td>1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- As k is increased in increments of 1, the value of k is the **diameter** when all elements are 1.
- Every time k is increased from 1 to the diameter, the **average distance** can be obtained by summing all the elements whose value for element a_{i, j} in A^k is 0 divided by number of elements.
Serial ADJ-APSP(3/3)

```c
function SERIAL_ADJ_APSP(vertices, nodes)
    diameter ← 1
    distance ← nodes*(nodes−1)
    elements ← [nodes/E]
    A, B ← INITIALIZE(nodes, elements)
    for k=1 ... nodes−1
        for i=1 ... nodes
            for n ∈ neighbors(i, vertices)
                for j=1 ... elements
        num ← 0
        for i=1 ... nodes
            for j=1 ... elements
                num ← num+POPCNT(B[i][j])
        if(num = nodes*nodes) break
        SWAP(A, B)
        diameter++
        distance ← distance+(nodes*nodes−num)
        average_distance ← distance/((nodes−1)*nodes)
    return diameter, average_distance
```

← logical sum operation (the most time-consuming part)

← __builtin_popcountll() or _mm_popcnt_u64()
## Parallel ADJ-APSP

### Table 1: Calculating A and \(A^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>adjlist</th>
<th>(A^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>2 3 5</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>5 6 8</td>
<td>0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0</td>
<td>0 3 4</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 2 9</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>2 7 9</td>
<td>1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 1 7</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>1 8 9</td>
<td>1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>4 5 8</td>
<td>0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>1 6 7</td>
<td>0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>3 4 6</td>
<td>1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Adjacent Lists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent List 1</th>
<th>Adjacent List 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 3 5</td>
<td>0 0 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 6 8</td>
<td>0 1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 3 4</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 2 9</td>
<td>1 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 7 9</td>
<td>1 0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 1 7</td>
<td>0 0 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 8 9</td>
<td>1 1 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 5 8</td>
<td>0 1 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 6 7</td>
<td>0 1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 4 6</td>
<td>1 0 0 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- "A" can be calculated independently by MPI
- The maximum number of processes is \((n/E)\), where \(E\) is the number of bits in one element (we use \(\text{uint64}_t\), \(E = 64\))
Parallel ADJ-APSP

```c
function PARALLEL_ADJ_APSP(verticies, nodes)
    diameter ← 1
    distance ← 0
    elements ← [nodes/E]
    chunk ← [elements/procs]
    parsize ← [elements/chunk]
    for c=1 ... parsize on each process
        A, B ← INITIALIZE(nodes, chunk)
        for k=1 ... nodes−1
            for i=1 ... nodes omp parallel
                for n ∈ neighbors(i, vertices)
                    for j=1 ... chunk
            num ← 0
            for i=1 ... nodes omp parallel reduction(+:num)
                for j=1 ... chunk
                    num ← num+POPCNT(B[i][j])
            if(num = nodes×chunk×E) break
            SWAP(A, B)
            distance ← distance+(nodes×chunk×E−num)
            diameter ← MAX(diameter, k+1)
            diameter ← ALLREDUCE(diameter, MAX)
            average_distance ← ALLREDUCE(distance, SUM)
            average_distance ← average_distance/((nodes−1)×nodes)+1
    return diameter, average_distance
```

Same as BFS-APSP, communication time is very small
Parallel ADJ-APSP for GPU

```
function PARALLEL_ADJ_APSP(vertices, nodes)

diameter ← 1

distance ← 0

elements ← [nodes/E]

chunk ← [elements_procs]

parsize ← [elements_chunk]

for c=1 ... parsize on each process
    A, B ← INITIALIZE(nodes, chunk)
    for k=1 ... nodes

    for i=1 ... nodes omp parallel
        for n ∈ neighbors(i, vertices)
            for j=1 ... chunk

    num ← 0
    for i=1 ... nodes omp parallel reduction(+:num)
        for j=1 ... chunk
            num ← num+__POPCNT(B[i][j])

    if(num = nodes*chunk*E) break

    SWAP(A, B)
    distance ← distance+(nodes*chunk*E−num)
    diameter ← MAX(diameter, k+1)
    diameter ← ALLREDUCE(diameter, MAX)
    average_distance ← ALLREDUCE(distance, SUM)
    average_distance ← average_distance/((nodes−1)*nodes)+1

return diameter, average_distance
```

```
int tid = threadIdx.x + blockIdx.x * blockDim.x;

while (tid < nodes*elements) {
    int i = tid / elements;
    int k = tid % elements;
    uint64_t tmp = B[i][k];
    for(int j=0; j<num_degrees[i][j]; j++) {
        int n = neighbors[i][j];
        tmp |= A[n][k];
    }
    B[i][k] = tmp;
    tid += blockDim.x * gridDim.x;
}
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BFS-APSP</th>
<th>ADJ-APSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computational complexity</td>
<td>$O(n^{2d})$</td>
<td>$O(n^{2dD/E})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of MPI processes</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$n/E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpenMP exclusive control</td>
<td>critical directive</td>
<td>(none)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For GPU</td>
<td>$\triangle$</td>
<td>$\bigcirc$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>MPI_Allreduce() x 2 for scalar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, the value of $D$ of graphs in Order/Degree problem is small due to the small-world effect.
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Experiment environment

The K computer in RIKEN R-CCS

- **CPU**: SPARC64 VIIIfx (8Cores, 2.0GHz)
- **Memory**: DDR3 (64GB/s, 16GB)
- **Network**: Torus fusion six-dimensional mesh/torus network, 5GB/s × 10
- **Software**: Fujitsu Compiler K-1.2.0-25

Cygnus in CCS, Univ. of Tsukuba

- **CPU**: Intel Xeon Gold 6126 (12Cores, 2.6GHz) × 2
- **Memory**: DDR4 (128GB/s × 2, 192GB)
- **GPU**: NVIDIA Tesla V100 (900GB/s, 32GB) × 4
- **Network**: InfiniBand HDR100 (12.5GB/s) × 4
- **Software**: intel/19.0.3, mvapich/2.3.1, cuda/10.1

For OpenMP+MPI versions

For CUDA+MPI version
Serial algorithm

The K computer

- $(n, d, D) = (50, 4, 5), (1726, 30, 3), \text{ and } (64Ki, 6, 9)$
- ADJ-APSP is always faster than BFS-APSP
  - The computation time is 8.08 to 29.49 times faster

Cygnus system
Parallel algorithm by OpenMP

- $(n, d, D) = (64Ki, 6, 9)$ and $(1M, 32, 5)$
- The number of processes is fixed at 1
- ADJ-APSP is always faster than BFS-APSP
  - 19.62 to 32.34 times faster at the maximum number of threads
  - $(1M, 32, 5)$ on Cygnus
    - BFS-APSP(1core) : approx. 37hours $\rightarrow$ ADJ-APSP(1core) : 3,880sec.
    - ADJ-APSP(1core) : 3,880sec. $\rightarrow$ ADJ-APSP(12cores) : 475sec.
Parallel algorithm by OpenMP

- Above graphs show the speed increase for previous graphs
- Parallelization efficiency of ADJ-APSP is higher than that of BFS-APSP
- This is because BFS-APSP requires exclusive control between threads, whereas ADJ-APSP does not perform such a control
Parallel algorithm by OpenMP+MPI

- The number of threads is set to the maximum value
- The maximum number of processes in (64Ki, 6, 9) and (1M, 32, 5) is 65,536 and 1,000,000 for BFS-APSP and 1,024 and 15,625 for ADJ-APSP, respectively
- ADJ-APSP is faster than BFS-APSP for the same number of processes
- (1M, 32, 5) on cygnus
  - ADJ-APSP(1CPU) : 475sec. $\rightarrow$ ADJ-APSP(64CPU) : 6.77 sec.
Parallel algorithm by OpenMP+MPI

The K computer

- BFS-APSP may be faster than ADJ-APSP in a large number of processes

- The number of threads is set to the maximum value
- The maximum number of processes in (64Ki, 6, 9) and (1M, 32, 5) is 65,536 and 1,000,000 for BFS-APSP and 1,024 and 15,625 for ADJ-APSP, respectively
- ADJ-APSP is faster than BFS-APSP for the same number of processes
- (1M, 32, 5) on cygnus
  - ADJ-APSP(1CPU) : 475sec. → ADJ-APSP(64CPU) : 6.77 sec.
Parallel algorithm by CUDA+MPI

- (64Ki, 6, 9) : 0.77sec. (1CPU, 12Threads) → 0.06 sec. (1GPU) : x 12.6
- (1M, 32, 5) : 475 sec. (1CPU, 12Threads) → 28.7 sec. (1GPU) : x 16.5
- (1M, 32, 5) : 28.7sec.(1GPU) → 0.28 sec. (128GPUs)
  - Achieve 101.10-fold performance improvements
Since the number of elements of each column in the adjacency matrix is 8 (=65536/E/128 = 8), the condition where coalesce access occurs isn't met.

- (64Ki, 6, 9) : 0.77sec. (1CPU, 12Threads) → 0.06 sec. (1GPU) : x 12.6
- (1M, 32, 5) : 475 sec. (1CPU, 12Threads) → 28.7 sec. (1GPU) : x 16.5
- (1M, 32, 5) : 28.7sec.(1GPU) → 0.28 sec. (128GPUs)
  - Achieve 101.10-fold performance improvements
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Summary
Summary

- We parallelize BFS-APSP and ADJ-APSP using MPI+OpenMP for Order/Degree problem
- ADJ-APSP has a better performance in the serial algorithm and threaded algorithm than BFS-APSP on a single CPU
  - approx. 37 hours (BFS, 1 core) → 3,880 sec. (ADJ, 1 core) → 475 sec. (ADJ, 12 cores)
- However, because the maximum number of processes of BFS-APSP is larger than that of ADJ-APSP, the performance of BFS-APSP on multiple CPUs may be higher using MPI
- We achieved further speedup by parallelizing ADJ-APSP using GPUs
  - 28.7 sec. (ADJ, 1 GPU) → 0.28 sec. (ADJ, 128 GPUs)